# REFERRING EXPRESSION (RULE) DISCOVERY FOR DATA LINKING

### FATIHA SAÏS, joint work with,

Armita Khajeh Nassiri, Nathalie Pernelle and Gianluca Quercini

#### SÉMINAIRE RÉSIDENTIEL INRAE SEMANTIC LINKED DATA

11-14 OCT. 2021- DOMAINE DU LAZARET - SÈTE







## WEB OF DATA

#### LOD – Linked Data Cloud

"Linking Open Data cloud diagram 2020, by Andrejs Abele, John P. McCrae, Paul Buitelaar, Anja Jentzsch and Richard Cyganiak. http://lod-cloud.net/"

# Knowledge Graphs publicly available

- over 1 250 sources in LOD
- more than 650 k graphs in lod-a-lot
- over 100B triples
- about 500M links: most are sameAs links



## **WEB OF DATA**

# Knowledge Graphs publicly available

- over 1 250 sources in LOD
- more than 650 k graphs in lod-a-lot
- over 100B triples
- about 500M links: most are sameAs links

#### **Application domains**

Cross-domain: Dbpedia, yago, wikidata, ...
Media & Music : BBC, INA, MusicBrainz, ...
Government: US, UK, FR, DE, ...
Geographic: LinkedGeoData, IGN, ...
Life sciences: GO, SwissProt, Bio2RDF, ...
Cultural heritage: INA, BNF, Europeana, ...
Law, Theology, Tourism, ...

#### LOD – Linked Data Cloud

"Linking Open Data cloud diagram 2020, by Andrejs Abele, John P. McCrae, Paul Buitelaar, Anja Jentzsch and Richard Cyganiak. http://lod-cloud.net/"



## **KNOWLEDGE GRAPHS IN A WHOLE**



#### Ontology axioms and rules

- Disjunction between classes/properties
- Subsumption (hierarchy)
- (inverse) Functionality of properties
- Symmetry
- Cardinalities
- Keys

...

Logical rules

## **KNOWLEDGE GRAPHS IN A WHOLE**



#### WHO IS DEVELOPING KNOWLEDGE GRAPHS?



#### WHO IS DEVELOPING KNOWLEDGE GRAPHS?



#### **Targeted applications and services**

- Information integration,
- recommendation,
- transparency,
- regularity compliance,
- multilingual support,
- conversational agents,

**•** ..



#### Examples of use-cases

- Web search: "things and not strings" (e.g. GooglePanel)
- Social network: description of skills, jobs, schools, etc. (e.g. LinkedIn)
- Commerce: description of products, events, location..., behaviour patterns (e.g. Ebay ShopBot)
- Finance: emerging events detection, risk assessment (e.g. Bloomberg), ...

# DATA QUALITY IN KGS

#### **COMPLETENESS?**

	Name	Instances	Facts	Types	Relations
	DBpedia (English)	4,806,150	176,043,129	735	2,813
	YAGO	4,595,906	25,946,870	488,469	77
	Freebase	49,947,845	3,041,722,635	26,507	37,781
nd	Wikidata	15,602,060	65,993,797	23,157	1,673
	NELL	2,006,896	432,845	285	425
	OpenCyc	118,499	2,413,894	45,153	18,526
ט [	Google's Knowledge Graph	570,000,000	18,000,000,000	1,500	35,000
ס <	Google's Knowledge Vault	45,000,000	271,000,000	1,100	4,469
2	Yahoo! Knowledge Graph	3,443,743	1,391,054,990	250	800

#### Incomplete data DBPedia: 1.7M person, 700K missing birth dates

*Heiko Paulheim. Knowledge Graph Refinement: A Survey of Approaches and Evaluation Methods. Semantic Web* 8:3(2017), pp 489-508.

## DATA QUALITY IN KGS

### **CORRECTNESS?**

#### **About: Donald Trump**

An Entity of Type : person, from Named Graph : http://dbpedia.org, within Data Space : dbpedia.org

Donald John Trump (born June 14, 1946) is an American businessman, author, television producer, politician, and the Republican Party nominee for President of the United States in the 2016 election. He is the chairman and president of The Trump Organization, which is the principal holding company for his real estate ventures and other business interests. During his career, Trump has built office towers, hotels, casinos, golf courses, an urban development project in Manhattan, and other branded facilities worldwide.

dbo:birthName	Donald John Trump (en)	
dbo:birthPlace	<ul><li>dbr:Queens</li><li>dbr:New_York_City</li></ul>	
dbo:birthYear	<ul> <li>1946-01-01 (xsd:date)</li> </ul>	
dbo:Child	<ul> <li>dbr:Donald_Trump_Jr.</li> <li>dbr:Tiffany_Trump</li> <li>dbr:Eric_Trump</li> <li>dbr:Ivanka_Trump</li> <li>dbr:Donald_Trump</li> </ul>	Donald Trump is the child of himself!

#### *Errors Yago: 9K cases of Childs born before their parents*

## RULE MINING - FOR DATA QUALITY IMPROVEMENT

- Error detection
- Fact checking
- Fact prediction
- Data linking

. . .



- **Rule mining** : techniques and main differences
- Referring expressions : RE-miner for data linking

• Conclusion

A horn rule or implication :



Example:

hasChild(p, c)  $\land$  isCitizenOf (p, s)  $\Rightarrow$  isCitizenOf (c, s)

motherOf(m, c)  $\Rightarrow \neg$  fatherOf (m, c)

worksAt(p, c)  $\Rightarrow$  affiliatedTo(p, c)



Knowledge bases are not complete

So the rules are not necessarily always correct
 measures : confidence and support

RULE: hasChild(X,Y)  $\land$  marriedTo(X,Z)  $\rightarrow$  hasChild(Z,Y)

Knowledge bases are not complete

So the rules are not necessarily always correct
 measures : confidence and support

RULE: hasChild(X,Y)  $\land$  marriedTo(X,Z)  $\rightarrow$  hasChild(Z,Y)



X= Joe, Y= Jill, Z= Ashley

Prediction: hasChild(Joe, Ashley)

Support: Number of true predictions of the rule in KB Confidence: Number of true predictions / Number of total predictions

### **RULE MINING: EXISTING TECHNIQUES**

#### 1. Generate and test Techniques, heuristic technique with backtracking (AMIE3,RUDIK)

- Consider a candidate rule
- Compute quality measures for this rule
- Refine the rule to generate more candidates and test

#### 2. Divide and Conquer Techniques (Tilde)

- Divide: search for a rule that is valid on a part of knowledge base
- Conquer: recursively conquer the remaining examples by learning more rules
- Combine the rules to form the final solution

### **RULE MINING: EXISTING TECHNIQUES**

#### 1. Generate and test Techniques, heuristic technique with backtracking (AMIE3,RUDIK)

- Consider a candidate rule
- Compute quality measures for this rule
- Refine the rule to generate more candidates and test

## Guarantees to find all rules that fulfill quality measures and the language bias

#### 2. Divide and Conquer Techniques (Tilde)

- Divide: search for a rule that is valid on a part of knowledge base
- Conquer: recursively conquer the remaining examples by learning more rules
- Combine the rules to form the final solution



- Rule mining : techniques and main differences
- Referring expressions : RE-miner for data linking

• Conclusion

- Identity link detection consists in detecting whether two descriptions of resources refer to the same real world entity (e.g. same person, same article, same gene).
  - Instance-based: consider only data type properties (attributes)



- Identity link detection consists in detecting whether two descriptions of resources refer to the same real world entity (e.g. same person, same article, same gene).
  - Instance-based: consider only data type properties (attributes)
    - **Similarity** on literal values



- Identity link detection consists in detecting whether two descriptions of resources refer to the same real world entity (e.g. same person, same article, same gene).
  - Instance-based: consider only data type properties (attributes)
  - Graph-based: + object properties (relations) and similarity propagation



- Identity link detection consists in detecting whether two descriptions of resources refer to the same real world entity (e.g. same person, same article, same gene).
  - Instance-based: consider only data type properties (attributes)
  - Graph-based: + object properties (relations) and similarity propagation
  - Rule-based: rules, area(X, Z), area(Y,Z), producer(X, W), producer(Y, W) ==> X=Y



# REFERRING EXPRESSIONS DISCOVERY FOR DATA LINKING

PhD of Armita Khajeh Nassiri (2020-2023)

Co-supervised with N. Pernelle, G. Quercini

PSPC AIDA Project (2019-2023), collaboration with IBM France

## WHAT IS A REFERRING EXPRESSION

Description that uniquely characterizes an instance in a given context.

The 44th President of USA

Barack Obama

## WHAT IS A REFERRING EXPRESSION

Description that uniquely characterizes an instance in a given context.

The 44th President of USA







## WHAT IS A REFERRING EXPRESSION

Description that uniquely characterizes an instance in a given context.

The 44th President of USA







The **fruit** right to the **left** of the **big orange pear** 

The **second closest fruit** to the **vase** 

By **instantiating** keys, we will uniquely find each instance, hence a RE.

We have already used keys for data linking.

Hence, let's find REs from **maximal non-key** sets of a class

Example: Non-key for book: [hasPages, yearPublished, countryOfPublication]

There can be many different unique expressions (REs) that identify an entity with different levels of **expressivity**.

We discover **minimal** REs that are valid in **one class** of a **knowledge** graph

A Referring expression for **u** (an instance of type **C** in knowledge graph G), is a **connected subgraph pattern** rooted by **x** 

Mozart : a musician born in a city named Salzburg and died in Vienna.



Mozart : a musician born in a city named Salzburg and died in Vienna.



Input: knowledge graph G, class C

Output: The set of minimal REs valid within class C

Input: knowledge graph G, class C

Output: The set of minimal REs valid within class C

1- Find the maximal non-key NK set for C using SAKey

2- Group NK based on cardinality

Input: knowledge graph G, class C

Output: The set of minimal REs valid within class C

1- Find the maximal non-key NK set for C using SAKey

2- Group NK based on cardinality

Example for class book:

NK= [{author, year}, {publisher, author, language}]

Grouped based on Cardinality:

Level1: [{author}, {year}, {publisher}, {language} ] Level2: [{author, year}, {publisher, author}, {publisher, language}, {author, language}] Level3: [ {publisher, author, language}]

Input: knowledge graph G, class C

Output: The set of minimal REs valid within class C

1- Find the maximal non-key NK set for C using SAKey

2- Group NK based on cardinality

3- Starting from level 1, construct **candidate REs** and keep those that are valid



Input: knowledge graph G, class C

Output: The set of minimal REs valid within class C

1- Find the maximal non-key NK set for C using SAKey

2- Group NK based on cardinality

3- Starting from level 1, construct **candidate REs** and keep those that are valid

4- Increase the depth of subgraph following the same procedure for the class of the entity we aim to replace with an existential quantifier.



Input: knowledge graph G, class C

Output: The set of minimal REs valid within class C

1- Find the maximal non-key NK set for C using SAKey

2- Group NK based on cardinality

3- Starting from level 1, construct **candidate REs** and keep those that are valid

4- Increase the depth of subgraph following the same procedure for the class of the entity we aim to replace with an existential quantifier.

5- A **post-processing** step that recursively replaces **IRIs** in REs with an instantiation of minimal key properties to discover **extended REs**.

### **DATA LINKING WITH REs**



### **DATA LINKING WITH REs**



Dataset for **10 classes** of **YAGO** and **DBpedia** used in VICKEY experimentations, we only consider mapped properties, and depth = 1.

class	#Triples	#Properties	#NKs	#REs	Run time
Actor	514.7 K	16	69	725.6 K	95.1 s
Album	381.1 K	5	2	212.1 K	14.7 s
Book	92.5 K	7	6	66.3 K	3.5 s
Film	533.5 K	9	7	690.9 K	102.3 s
Mountain	116.7 K	6	4	59.2 K	1.4 s
Museum	81.6 K	7	5	53.5 K	2.6 s
Organization	2.2 M	17	43	68.3 M	3.48 h
Scientist	335.6 K	18	92	309.9 K	64.0 s
University	131.8 K	9	9	161.8 K	17.7 s
City	1.1 M	17	29	1.2 M	109.7 s

Dataset for **10 classes** of **YAGO** and **DBpedia** like the one used in VICKEY.

**Hypothesis**: If a description uniquely identifies an entity in one KG, it's likely that the same description identifies the same entity in the other KG.

For each RE of an entity in YAGO, if the description is fulfilled by only one entity in DBPedia, we will link the two. (some Res are discarded)

Linking results with keys, keys + conditional keys and REs To compare literal values : only string equality !

	<u> </u>			1	D ''	F	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	, <u>,</u>	
Class	Recall			Precision			FI		
Class	Ks	Ks+CKs	RE	Ks	Ks+CKs	RE	Ks	Ks+CKs	RE
Actor	0.27	0.60	0.66	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.43	0.75	0.79
Album	0.00	0.15	0.64	1.00	0.99	0.98	0.00	0.26	0.77
Book	0.03	0.13	0.77	1.00	0.99	0.97	0.06	0.23	0.86
Film	0.04	0.39	0.73	0.99	0.98	0.94	0.08	0.55	0.82
Mountain	0.00	0.29	0.77	1.00	0.99	0.98	0.00	0.45	0.86
University	0.09	0.25	0.65	0.99	0.99	0.98	0.16	0.40	0.78

Linking results with keys, keys + conditional keys and REs To compare literal values : only string equality !

Class	Recall			Precision			F1		
Class	Ks	Ks+CKs	RE	Ks	Ks+CKs	RE	Ks	Ks+CKs	RE
Actor	0.27	0.60	0.66	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.43	0.75	0.79
Album	0.00	0.15	0.64	1.00	0.99	0.98	0.00	0.26	0.77
Book	0.03	0.13	0.77	1.00	0.99	0.97	0.06	0.23	0.86
Film	0.04	0.39	0.73	0.99	0.98	0.94	0.08	0.55	0.82
Mountain	0.00	0.29	0.77	1.00	0.99	0.98	0.00	0.45	0.86
University	0.09	0.25	0.65	0.99	0.99	0.98	0.16	0.40	0.78

#### Published at ISWC'2020, ranked 1st for SPIMBENCH at IM-OAEI 2020.

## CONCLUSION

#### **RULE MINING FUTURE CHALLENGES**

- Deal with **numerical values**: discretisation, domain expert, combination of ML and symbolic AI

- Rule mining for **decision making** : AIDA with IBM
- Rule mining for **explanation** : causality to explain the impact of climate change on Maïs development
- Scalability (ex. AMIE3 timeout for NB atoms > 4)

#### DATA LINKING FUTURE CHALLENGES

- Multi-source and simultaneous schema/data linking
- Scalability
- Link invalidation

# REFERRING EXPRESSION DISCOVERY FOR DATA LINKING

### FATIHA SAÏS, joint work with,

Armita Khajeh Nassiri, Nathalie Pernelle and Gianluca Quercini

#### SÉMINAIRE RÉSIDENTIEL INRAE SEMANTIC LINKED DATA

11-14 OCT. 2021- DOMAINE DU LAZARET - SÈTE





